

SA.18 Use of Artificial Intelligence (AI)

APPROVED BY	ACADEMIC BOARD	Reviewed	May 2025
REVIEW STAKEHOLDERS	■ PROGRAMME LEADERS/PROFESSIONAL LEADS	Minor Edit	
	 ACADEMIC DEAN 	Review period	1 YEAR
	• IT	Next review	May 2026
RELATED DOCUMENTS	Sa.19 PLAGIARISM POLICY SA.31 PRIVACY POLICY		

1. PURPOSE

- 1.1. To establish the circumstances and manner in which it is appropriate to employ the use of Al tools.
- 1.2. To guide the ethical and effective use of AI tools to ensure AI supports BTI's biblically centered values, promotes responsible and thoughtful engagement towards a community that values relational, responsive, and collaborative learning.
- 1.3. To outline the process for inappropriate use of AI tools by students.

2. DEFINITIONS AND SCOPE

- 2.1. This policy applies to all students and staff at BTI, across all courses and activities.
- 2.2. Al refers to the simulation of human intelligence processed by machines or computer systems. It incorporates generative artificial intelligence: a non-human adaptive tool or mechanism that can autonomously generate text, images, audio, video, or anything else that resembles human created content.

3. GUIDING PRINCIPLES

- 3.1. Al is appropriate when employed to;
 - 3.1.1. support creativity, critical thinking, and collaborative learning.
 - 3.1.2. enhance human relationships, and collaborative efforts, not replace them.
 - 3.1.3. enhance understanding and enhance efficiency, not replace personal effort or misrepresent original work.
- 3.2. When AI is employed;
 - 3.2.1. the use should respect the dignity, privacy, and rights of all individuals.
 - 3.2.2. the use should be aligned with BTI's values.
 - 3.2.3. the use should respect academic integrity, and ethical standards.
 - 3.2.4. the information/output should be verified. All claims, references, data should be checked.
- 3.3. Consider the following questions when using AI in alignment with the guiding principles:
 - 3.3.1. Does this use of AI enhance or diminish my personal engagement with the material?
 - 3.3.2. Am I using AI in a way that is honest, fair, and respectful of others?
 - 3.3.3. Does this use of Al align with BTI's values?
 - 3.3.4. Have I acknowledged Al-generated content appropriately?
 - 3.3.5. Does this use of AI promote or hinder my engagement with others?
 - 3.3.6. Am I using AI to enhance collaboration and understanding within my community?
 - 3.3.7. Is the AI use in line with BTI's ethos of fostering meaningful relationships?



4. POLICY

- 4.1. The use of AI is appropriate where such use aligns with the guiding principles mentioned above. Examples of appropriate AI use include:
 - 4.1.1. Research Support: for literature searches, summarisation, or translation, provided the original sources are cited.
 - 4.1.2. Study Aid: for grammar checking, generating, refining or developing ideas, or creating practice quizzes.
 - 4.1.3. Administrative Tasks: streamlining scheduling, summarising, synthesising, reminders, and task management.
 - 4.1.4. Collaborative Learning: for brainstorming or communication that enhances group interaction.
 - 4.1.5. Models, exemplars and case studies: to develop models, exemplars and case studies that are correctly attributed to AI and can be used to support student learning in a practice environment.
 - 4.1.6. Creating resources: developing learning aids, therapeutic interventions that are differentiated to suit the needs or context of students, including static images, audio, videos, etc.
- 4.2. Further guidance on the appropriate use of AI can be sought from Academic Support and educators.
- 4.3. Educators are expected to provide clear guidelines as what forms of AI usage are allowable for different assignment tasks.
- 4.4. The use of AI is prohibited in the following situations:
 - 4.4.1. To develop and present content as one's own without proper attribution.
 - 4.4.2. As a substitute for understanding that can be applied.
 - 4.4.3. To fabricate data or references or manipulate results in a misleading manner.
 - 4.4.4. To compromise privacy or confidentiality.
- 4.5. Proper attribution of Al-generated content will be negotiated with your educator/s and could include:
 - 4.5.1. The inclusion of the prompts used to generate content.
 - 4.5.2. The specification of the AI tools that were employed.
 - 4.5.3. The referencing of AI within assignment text, and in the reference list.
 - 4.5.4. The assignment draft prior to AI generated content being considered.
- 4.6. Where inappropriate AI use is suspected in assignment submission or attendance and participation tasks, students can be tested verbally about their assignments and learning activities.
 - 4.6.1. When the result of verbal testing shows disparity between the assignment that has been submitted and the verbal communication, the educator will consult with the Course Coordinator (or equivalent) and/or a learning advisor in the first instance. Depending on the seriousness, the outcome may be:
 - a. The educator may use this example for teaching purposes.
 - b. The student may be required to rework the assessment task/learning activity within the life of the course. If the resubmitted work is at a passing grade, the student will be allocated a passing grade of CP (50%).
 - c. The assessment may receive a failed grade without the opportunity to resubmit.
 - d. It may be appropriate for a grade of 0% to be given where there is limited evidence of understanding.



- e. It may be appropriate for a grade of up to 29% to be given where there is excessive inappropriate use of AI but there is some evidence of understanding.
- f. It may be appropriate for a grade of up to 50% to be given where there is significant inappropriate use of AI and clear evidence of understanding.
- g. A review of studentship may be initiated (refer to General Academic Regulations).